



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,204	10/01/2003	Takashi Kido	02008/125001	7111
22511	7590 02/22/2005		EXAMINER	
OSHA & MA	AY L.L.P.		NGUYE	N, TU T
1221 MCKIN SUITE 2800	NEY STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77010			2877	

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- ^
σ \
W
4~

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/676,204	KIDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tu T. Nguyen	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 D</u>	<u> Pecember 2004</u> .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 27-46</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-12 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 27-46</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 27-46</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2003 is/are	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 October 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E>	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	+-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ite				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/17/2003</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species IA (claims 1-6) and added new claims 27-46 in the reply filed on 12/02/2004 is acknowledged.

Drawings

Figures 15-16 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (fig 15) (AAPA hereinafter) in view of Otani et al (5,995,228) and Hideto (JP 62-156535).

With respect to claim 1, AAPA discloses an optical network analyzer for measuring an optical characteristic of an object to be measured 200 (fig 15). The system comprises: a first optical signal which has transmitted the object to be measured 200 (fig 15), a third optical signal; a first photoelectrical converter 708 (fig 15) for converting the third optical signal into a first electric signal; and a phase measurement block 750 (fig 15) for comparing phases of the first electric signal and a first reference signal (fig 15).

AAPA does not discloses a first multiplexer and a second optical signal. Otani discloses a system for measuring dispersion of an object 25 (fig 1). The system comprises: a first multiplexer 26 (fig 1) for multiplexing a first optical signal b (fig 1) which has transmitted the object to be measured 25 (fig 1), and a second optical signal c (fig 1), and for outputting a third optical signal d (fig 1).

Otani does not explicitly disclose the frequency of the second optical signal having a different frequency from the first optical signal. Hideto discloses a network analyzer measuring a phase difference between signals having different frequencies (abstract).

It would have been obvious to modify AAPA with the multiplexer as taught by

Otani for multiplexing the first and second optical signals, wherein the signals having

different frequencies as taught by Hideto to make the system having a high accuracy as taught by Hideto.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-6, 27-46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior arts of record do not disclose a frequency converter for converting a frequency of the second optical signal based on the first reference signal which structurally arranged and functionally operated as claimed in claim 2 in combination with all the limitations of claim 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2877

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu T. Nguyen
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877

02/17/2005